

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 SAMUEL LOVE,) Case No.: 5:18-cv-01262-CAS-KK
13 Plaintiff,) **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING**
14 vs.) **DEFENDANT’S EX PARTE**
15 TRUE VINE HOSPITALITY, LLC., a) **APPLICATION FOR RELIEF**
16 California Limited Liability Company;) **FROM PLAINTIFF’S AND**
17 and Does 1-10) **PLAINTIFF’S EXPERT’S NON-**
18 Defendant.) **APPEARANCE AT DEPOSITION**
19)
20)
21)

22 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that defendant TRUE VINE HOSPITALITY,
23 LLC (“True Vine”) applied to the Court for ex parte relief from Plaintiff’s, and his
24 expert Paul Bishop’s, non-appearance for a noticed deposition on September 9,
25 2019.

26 The Court considered True Vine’s ex parte application, the supporting
27 memorandum of points and authorities, the Declaration of Kalyan Pokala, the
28

[Proposed] Order Granting Defendant’s Ex Parte Application for Relief from Plaintiff’s and
Plaintiff’s Expert’s Nonappearance at Deposition, 1

Case No. 18-cv-01262-CAS-KK

1 papers submitted by Plaintiff in Opposition, and the pleadings and papers in this
2 action.

3 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Court GRANTS True Vine's application
4 for ex parte relief.

5 Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is taken off calendar.

6 Plaintiff, Paul Bishop, and Plaintiff's other witness who provided a
7 declaration in support of Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment are ordered to
8 sit for their deposition not later than _____.

9
10 The Court makes the following further orders:
11 _____
12 _____
13 _____
14 _____
15 _____

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: _____

18 _____
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28